

NUCLEAR TRANSPARENCY WATCH

Prevent and anticipate through transparency and participation

TRANSPARENCY REFLECTIONS FROM CIVIL SOCIETY

European Parliament – 7 February 2017

jan.haverkamp@greenpeace.org



- Access to national reports and programmes under 70/2011/Euratom
 - Early stage
 - SEA for the programmes
 - Aarhus obligations, Kiev Protocol of Espoo obligations
 - European Commission support needed for steps from civil society to have these obligations enforced – not only a task for DG ENER, but also for DG ENV; Is there cooperation between those two DGs on this issue?



- Transparency concerning a multi-generational problem
 - The Hungarian idea of an ombudsman for future generations?
 - Timeframes of current programmes encompass multiple generations (FI: 3 to 4 minimally)



- Regional programmes
 - An issue that is under discussion in several countries: SI, HU, NL
 - Is the national responsibility guaranteed in regional programmes?
 - Will regional programmes not lead to a race to the bottom (to the site of least resistance)?
 - Is transboundary public participation guaranteed in decisions?



- Research
 - Concentrates still largely on the technology side
 - No alternatives included (transmutation is a nongoer)
 - Inclusion of independent and civil society input is coming, but still marginal



NUCLEAR TRANSPARENCY WATCH

Prevent and anticipate through transparency and participation



jan.haverkamp@greenpeace.org