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• Access to national reports and programmes under 70/2011/Euratom
  – Early stage
  – SEA for the programmes
  – Aarhus obligations, Kiev Protocol of Espoo obligations
  – European Commission support needed for steps from civil society to have these obligations enforced – not only a task for DG ENER, but also for DG ENV;
  Is there cooperation between those two DGs on this issue?
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• Transparency concerning a multi-generational problem
  – The Hungarian idea of an ombudsman for future generations?
  – Timeframes of current programmes encompass multiple generations (FI: 3 to 4 minimally)
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• Regional programmes
  – An issue that is under discussion in several countries: SI, HU, NL
  – Is the national responsibility guaranteed in regional programmes?
  – Will regional programmes not lead to a race to the bottom (to the site of least resistance)?
  – Is transboundary public participation guaranteed in decisions?
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• Research
  – Concentrates still largely on the technology side
  – No alternatives included (transmutation is a non-goer)
  – Inclusion of independent and civil society input is coming, but still marginal